

Schroader, Kathy



From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 7:39 AM
To: Euler, Gordon; Alvarez, Jose; Anderson, Colette; Albrecht, Gary; Hermen, Matt; Kamp, Jacqueline; Lebowsky, Laurie; Lumbantobing, Sharon
Cc: Schroader, Kathy
Subject: FW: clarification please re new planning assumptions

FYI and for the record Thanks.

From: Heather Tischbein [<mailto:htischbein@wa-net.com>]
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 7:22 AM
To: Madore, David
Cc: Stewart, Jeanne; Orjiako, Oliver; Euler, Gordon
Subject: clarification please re new planning assumptions

Councilor Madore,

I have a question about something I thought I heard you say at the Planning Commission work session on 11/5 and again at the joint county council-planning commission work session on 11/9

I heard you say, more than once, that Alt 1 is not "legal" It's my understanding that Alt 1 has been through a lengthy legal process and was just last year determined to be in full compliance with the GMA. If I heard you correctly, would you please help me understand what you meant by that remark. I've been assuming that you must have meant that Alt 1 would be out of compliance if your new planning assumptions were used in an impact analysis of Alt 1. Rather than making assumptions, I would prefer it if you could clarify for me what I thought I heard you say.

Thank you,

Heather Tischbein